Article review

Title of article under review

.....

(research unit that employs the reviewer)

	Evaluation criteria	Evaluation*			
No.		(*Please mark "X" as appropriate to indicate your response and provide a short explanation)			
		good	average	poor	N/A
1.	Originality and relevance of the topic	U	<u> </u>		
	(original work, work of informative nature)				
2.	Substance of the article				
	(substantive value, sources used)				
3.	Methodological assumptions				
	(aim, hypothesis, research methods used)				
4.	The scope of the proposed solution				
5.	Structure and title selection				
	(logical layout, rationale for the conclusions)				
6.	Practical application of the solution				
7.	Formal and linguistic aspects				
	(correct use of language, footnotes)				

Evaluation

Rationale behind the evaluation, suggested changes to the text

	••••••
	••••••

Final evaluation (please mark "X" as appropriate to indicate your response):

may be published as submitted for review				
may be published provided that the suggested changes are made				
may be accepted for publication provided that the suggested major changes				
are made and following another round of review				
may not be published				

.....

Date

..... Reviewer's signature