**Author:** Piotr Rylski, Karol Weitz, Bartosz Wołodkiewicz

**Title:** Przegląd orzecznictwa Trybunału Sprawiedliwości Unii Europejskiej z zakresu europejskiego prawa procesowego cywilnego (2017–2018) – rozporządzenie nr 44/2001 i rozporządzenie nr 1215/2012 / A Review of the Case Law of the Court of Justice of the EU in the Field of European International Civil Procedure Law (2017–2018): Regulations Nos 44/2001 and 1215/2012

**Source:** Kwartalnik Prawa Prywatnego ("Quarterly of Private Law")

Year: 2019, vol. XXVIII, number 3, pages: 705-799

**Keywords:** Regulation No 44/2001, Regulation No 1215/2012, Brussels I, Brussels I Recast, Brussels I bis, international civil procedure, jurisdiction of courts, foreign judgments, recognition and enforcement, judicial cooperation in civil matters, Court of Justice, ECJ, CJEU

**Discipline:** International Private Law, Civil Procedure

Language: Polish

**Document Type:** Article

**Publication order reference:** 

1. Piotr Rylski: University of Warsaw [p.rylski@wpia.uw.edu.pl]

**2.** Karol Weitz: University of Warsaw [k.weitz@wpia.uw.edu.pl]

**3.** Bartosz Wołodkiewicz: University of Warsaw [b.wolodkiewicz@gmail.com]

## **Abstract:**

Over the past two years the Court of Justice of the EU has delivered several important judgments on legal instruments forming the framework of the so-called judicial cooperation in civil matters. Therefore, international civil procedure law remains one of the most vigorous areas of European integration.

The two-part article contains a detailed and comprehensive study of the ECJ's acquis within the framework of basic legal instruments underpinning the EU Common Judicial Area, including all "Brussels" Regulations, the Insolvency Regulation (No 1346/2000), the Succession Regulation (No 650/2012), the Small Claims Regulation (No 861/2007), etc.

The authors pay close attention to the mechanisms of cooperation between Member States and the Union; they are endeavouring not only to analyse the technical matters raised by the respective cases pending before the Court of Justice, but also to highlight the importance of some underlying constitutional and international aspects.