

Author: Bartosz Stelmach

Title: Zakres zastosowania przepisów o roszczeniach uzupełniających / The scope of application of the provisions on supplementary claims

Source: Kwartalnik Prawa Prywatnego ("Quarterly of Private Law")

Year: 2016, vol: XXV, number 4, pages: 745-767

Keywords: complementary claims, unauthorized use, real estate, possession of an easement, negatoria claim, possessor

Discipline: Law (Private Law)

Language: Polish

Document type: Article

Publication order reference: Cracow

Abstract:

The article concerns problems related to the scope of application of Art. 224 § 2 and 225 of the Civil Code. Complementary claims provided in Art. 224 § 2 and Art. 225 of the Civil Code, ie.: claim for due to unauthorized use, the recovery of benefits or their values, and claim for compensation due to deterioration, wear or loss of things, which are applied in facts justifying lodging a vindication claim (Art. 222 § 1 of the Civil Code). According to the classical concept presented in the doctrine, complementary claims function only along with *rei vindicatio*, ie. they are inherent property infringement consisting in depriving the owner governance over the thing.

The traditional conception - in connection with the forming up from over ten years the new line of rulings of the Supreme Court - needs revision. This article is dedicated to the analysis of the possibility of complementing *actio negatoria* (Art. 222 § 2 of the Civil Code) and claims provided in the Art. 151 and 231 of the Civil Code. Most of the current views of jurisprudence and doctrine allows such an application of Art. 224-225 of the Civil Code. This work - primarily - focuses on criticizing of stable current opinion. Simultaneously there is presented approbation of the classical concept of application of the provisions about the complementary claims (Art. 224 § 2 and Art. 225 of the Civil Code).