

Polish Science Policy

Jerzy Duszyński

deputy minister, Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Poland

Kraków, PAU
May 15th, 2009

Basic facts – 1

Big differences in GDPs and GERDs of EU27


GDP per inhabitant varied by 1 to 7 across the EU27 Member States

Based on first preliminary estimates for 2007, Gross Domestic Product per inhabitant expressed in Purchasing Power Standards (PPS) varied from 38% to 276% of the average across EU27.


Country R&D expenditure in EU27 (mln euro in 2006) varied by 1 to 2000.

GERD ranging from 58 231 (Germany), 37 983 (France), 31 828 (United Kingdom) to: 191 (Lithuania), 112 (Latvia), 62 (Cyprus), 28 (Malta).
In the case of Poland: 1 513.

Comparison of economic situation and scientific achievements




Number of *Science* and *Nature* publications vs **GERD** of a given EU country



Basic facts - 2

1. **Linear correlation between GERD and a number of *Science* and *Nature* publications. Thus, the excellence criterion (on a national scale) turns into a GERD criterion (on a international scale).**
2. **Excellent scientists (publishing in *Science* or *Nature*) are in each EU country. EU countries that are small and/or less intensive in science produce 25% of all *Science* and *Nature* publications with EU affiliation.**
Regional excellence.
3. **Small and/or less intensive in science EU countries provide ca. 30% of FP7 budget.**



How to ensure full participation of small and/or less R&D intensive countries in pan-European research and infrastructural programmes?

Two solutions have been proposed:

1. Involve small and/or less research intensive countries in distributed pan-European facilities. Most of ESFRI Roadmap 2008: *1. Biological & Medical Sciences, 2. Social Sciences & Humanities, 3. Environmental Sciences* infrastructures are of a distributed nature.
2. Develop regional partner facilities. But questions regarding: a/ do they include big and research intensive partners? b/ are they limited to meta regions? c/ what will be their future in 5 years?

Polish Roadmap of RIs

Polish strategy

Parts of the Roadmap:

- a. existing research infrastructure in Poland (*upgrade of selected existing RIs*),
- b. international research infrastructure (*collaboration*),
- c. infrastructural projects to be financed from structural funds (*preference for multidisciplinary projects*),
- d. ESFRI 2008 Roadmap projects with Polish involvement (*preference for distributed infrastructures*),
- e. research facilities for ESFRI Roadmap 2010 or 2012 to be proposed by and, preferably, build in Poland (*preference for multidisciplinary projects*).

Polish Roadmap of RIs

a/ Existing research infrastructure in Poland:

- **most important and valuable facilities**
e.g. P4 laboratories of the The National Veterinary Research Institute in Puławy, Animal Facilities of Medical University in Białystok, research vessels, cyclotrone, radiotelescope...,
- **Polish scientific stations abroad**
e.g. Spitsbergen...,
- **modern university campuses with a relatively strong research infrastructure component,**
e.g. Ochota campus in Warsaw, Jagiellonian University campus in Cracow, Wrocław campus, Poznań-Morasko campus.

Polish Roadmap of RIs

Existing infrastructure

Polish Polar Station

Hjorsund fjord, Spitsbergen, since 1957



The Station, operating year-round, carries out research in various branches of geophysics and the study of polar environment. Thanks to its unique location, high-tech labs and equipment, the Station has been recognized as the European Marine Biodiversity Flagship Site and has been chosen by NASA and WMO as their permanent measuring facility.

Polish Roadmap of RIs

b/ International research infrastructure

Polish membership in frontier science, international infrastructures, e.g.: CERN, SALT, ILL...

Polish Roadmap of RIs

c/ Infrastructural projects to be financed from structural funds

- **Operational Programme Innovative Economy**
- **A few projects, chosen by a multidisciplinary panel of experts**

Implementation: 2007 – 2013

Total estimated costs: 685 000 000,00 €

Polish Roadmap of RIs

c/Infrastructural projects to be financed from structural funds

1. **Centre for Preclinical and Technological Research CePT, Medical University of Warsaw, Ochota Campus, (2007-2013, 100 mln €);**
2. **Lower Silesian Centre for Materials and Biomaterials, Wrocław Campus, (2007-2013, 140 mln €);**
3. **Centre for Advanced Materials and Technology, Warsaw University of Technology (2007-2013, 100 mln €).**
4. **Wrocław , Campus Prace, EIT+ (2007 – 2013, 140 mln €).**


Polish Roadmap of RIs

d/ ESFRI Roadmap projects with Polish involvement – financial contribution from the state budget
(preference for distributed and multidisciplinary infrastructures)


Projects selected by a multidisciplinary panel of experts:

EuroBioImaging, EURO-ARGO, CLARIN, COPAL, ELIXIR, ESSource, XFEL, FAIR and others.

U.S. RESEARCH STRENGTHS



POLAND'S RESEARCH STRENGTHS



Polish Roadmap of RIs

- e/ research facilities to be planned by and build in Poland (*preference for multidisciplinary projects*)
- Expected recommendations: 2 large-scale research facilities (proposal for ESFRI Roadmap 2010 or 2012)

Polish Roadmap of RIs – some ideas for future ESFRI road maps

Center for Physics of Cold Atoms and Light

• Goals

- to create a national platform for exchange of experience and training in the fields of quantum optics, cold atoms physics and quantum information (in general: quantum manipulations of atoms and photons)
- to become creative element in European Research Area (ERA) and represent Poland in European and other international consortia and research programs
- To become a partner and theoretical counterpart for National Laboratory for Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics

• Participants

• Center of Theoretical Physics - Polish Academy of Sciences

- Prof. Iwo Białynicki Birula
- Prof. Kazimierz Rzażewski
- Prof. Mariusz Gajda

• University of Warsaw

- Prof. Krzysztof Wódkiewicz
- Prof. Marek Trippenbach

• Jagiellonian University – Krakow

- Prof. Jakub Zakrzewski
- Dr Jacek Dziarmaga
- Dr Krzysztof Sacha

• Institute of Nuclear Studies

Prof. Eryk Infeld

University of Białystok

Prof. Mirosław Brewezyk



Polish Roadmap of RIs – some ideas for future ESFRI road maps

Research highlights and future plans



- **Achievements**
- **Consolidating key players (scientists working in the field of quantum engineering in Poland)**
- **Forming of internationally recognized scientific groups in nonlinear atom optics and quantum optics and classical field approximation for bosons at nonzero temperature**
- **Publishing (over last 3 years) around 100 scientific papers, including ca 40 in Phys. Rev. Lett and Nature.**
- **Creating an impact on students by organizing conferences and workshops**



Four-wave mixing with matter waves

L. Deng^{†1}, E. W. Hagley^{*}, J. Wen^{*}, M. Trippenbach^{†1}, Y. Bond^{†1}, P. S. Julienne^{*}, J. E. Simsarian^{*}, K. Helmerson^{*}, S. L. Rolston^{*} & W. D. Phillips^{*}

^{*} Atomic Physics Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, USA
^{†1} Department of Physics, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, Georgia 30460, USA
^{†2} Department of Chemistry and Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-sheva 84105, Israel



Planned activity:

- **Conferences and workshops (up to three month long)**
- **National and international research projects**
- **Short- and long-term scientific visits**
- **Cooperation with National Laboratory for Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics and other National Centers mentioned in this presentation**
- **Exchange visits of the PhD students between groups**
- **Awards for the best students and young scientists**
- **Integrating the national scientific community**

Conclusions

- Science progress in economically and financially diversified Europe necessitates various criteria and instruments;
- Poland should increase the science budget and promote reforms in scientific institutions;
- *Individual Excellence* criterion promotes big and rich EU countries so far. **Regional excellence** criterion can reveal huge potential of smaller and poorer EU countries. **Regional infrastructures** (on ESFRI Road Map) should correspond to them;
- Decision-making process concerning the localization of ESFRI infrastructures or ERC's *IDEAS* ranking should be oriented towards the compromise based on these both criteria.